

Executive Director/Director Non-Key Executive Decision Report

Author/Lead Officer of Report: Patricia Slater, Lead Service Manager Capital & Business **Planning**

Recommendations:

(Insert the report's recommendations.)

That the site of the former caretaker property, The School House, Silverdale School, Bents Crescent, Sheffield S11 9QH be declared surplus to the requirements of the People portfolio.

Background Papers:

(Insert details of any background papers used in the compilation of the report.)

Appendix 1 Site Plan of The School House

| Lead Officer to complete:- | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| 1 | I have consulted the relevant departments in respect of any relevant implications indicated on the Statutory and Council Policy Checklist, and comments have been incorporated / additional forms completed / EIA completed, where required. | Finance: Paul Schofield, Legal: Andrea Simpson |
| | | |
| | | Equalities: n/a |
| | Legal, financial/commercial and equalities implications must be included within the report and the name of the officer consulted must be included above. | |
| 2 | Lead Officer Name: Patricia Slater | Job Title: Lead Service Manager Capital & Business Planning |
| | Date: 24 November 2021 | |

1. PROPOSAL

(Explain the proposal, current position and need for change, including any evidence considered, and indicate whether this is something the Council is legally required to do, or whether it is something it is choosing to do)

1.1 The report seeks agreement for the site the former caretaker property, The School House at Silverdale School, Bents Crescent, Sheffield S11 9QH, shown outlined in red on the plan attached to this report, to be declared surplus as it is no longer required for the education function for which it is held and is not required by the portfolio for the delivery of any other services.

1.2

Background information.

The building was excluded from the 125-year PFI contract in 2013 when Silverdale was rebuilt. The building has subsequently been used as an Inclusion facility for a number of years but is now vacant. The Executive Director is asked to declare the site surplus to requirements so that it may be disposed of in accordance with the Council's Disposals Framework Policy

2. HOW DOES THIS DECISION CONTRIBUTE?

(Explain how this proposal will contribute to the ambitions within the Corporate Plan and what it will mean for people who live, work, learn in or visit the City. For example, does it increase or reduce inequalities and is the decision inclusive?; does it have an impact on climate change?; does it improve the customer experience?; is there an economic impact?)

2.1 The decision will enable disposal of the site to reimburse the corporate investment into SEND and mainstream capital place programme and thereby enable SCC to meet its statutory responsibility to provide sufficient citywide school places.

3. HAS THERE BEEN ANY CONSULTATION?

(Refer to the Consultation Principles and Involvement Guide. Indicate whether the Council is required to consult on the proposal, and provide details of any consultation activities undertaken and their outcomes.)

3.1 As this site is vacant there is no additional portfolio requirement for this site. There is no requirement to consult on the decision to declare the site surplus to the requirements.

4. RISK ANALYSIS AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

4.1 Equality of Opportunity Implications

4.1.1 No implications identified

4.2 Financial and Commercial Implications

4.2.1 There are no financial or commercial implications from the portfolio decision to declare this site surplus. The decision will enable the site to be disposed of in accordance with the Council's Disposals Framework Policy and the Leader's Scheme of Delegation. The financial implications of the disposal decision will be considered at that time.

4.3 <u>Legal Implications</u>

4.3.1 There are no legal implications from the portfolio decision to declare this site surplus. The decision will enable the site to be disposed of in accordance with the Council's Disposals Framework Policy and the Leader's Scheme of Delegation. The legal implications of the disposal decision will be considered at that time.

4.4 Other Implications

(Refer to the Executive decision making guidance and provide details of all relevant implications, e.g. HR, property, public health).

4.4.1 No other implications identified.

5. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

(Outline any alternative options which were considered but rejected in the course of developing the proposal.)

5.1 As this site is vacant there is no additional service requirement for this site.

6. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

(Explain why this is the preferred option and outline the intended outcomes.)

6.1 The site is no longer required for the purpose for which it is held and the portfolio has no other use for it.

Appendix A Former Caretaker Property, The School House Silverdale School, Bents Crescent, Sheffield S11 9QH

